UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/706,066	11/12/2003	Erol Bozak	09700.0031-00	6345
	7590 12/05/200 AN, HENDERSON LI		EXAMINER	
901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413			CHEEMA, UMAR	
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20001-4413		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			2444	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/05/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Intonsious Summons	10/706,066	BOZAK ET AL.				
Interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	UMAR CHEEMA	2444				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):					
(1) <u>Umar Cheema</u> .	(3) <u>Trenton J. Roche (reg. ı</u>	<u>10. 61,164)</u> .				
(2) <u>Andy Shaw</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: <u>03 December 2008</u> .						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)⊡ Video Conference c)⊡ Personal [copy given to: 1)⊡ applicant 2	²)∏ applicant's representative	.]				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.					
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1,3 and 6</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: Naik (US 2006/0294238), Burnett (US 2004/0225711), Bishop (US 5,784,552).						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.						
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Applicant's Attorney Mr. Roche discussed the potential differences between applied references and proposed amendment to claims 1, 3, and 6. The Examiner told Mr. Roche that rorposed amendment requires further search/consideration. No agreement was reach at this point.</u>						
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)						
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTIFILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERPRIEMENTS ON REVERSE SIDE OF THE SHEET.	last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, V	been filed, APP OAYS FROM T WHICHEVER IS	LICANT IS THIS LATER, TO			
/Peling A Shaw/ Examiner Art Unit 2444						

Application No.

Applicant(s)